

the first of the section of Astronomy Constraints and Astronomy Constraints.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/982259	10-17-01	QIU	271/289	
in the set with a subject when it to to the set with	The second section is the			
Verkins, COIE	LLP CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	and the second second	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1208	्रे कि सिंग स्थाप के प्राप्त है। अंक के प्राप्त के सिंग	nako ili aki dazi emalembili ili goldziki. Geomologi ili dazi (1901) ili ili doga	SWARTS THE STANKS	
Seattle, W/	^	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	,
	, (Wate = 120)		1648 15	1.00
 A substitution of the second of	i elemente de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición del composición del composición del composición del composición del composición d	DA	TE MAILED:	
A Company of the Comp	ji e izmateka je estok		n de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania del compania	Transcore
All participants (applicant, applicant)			The first of the first of the secondary of the	Miliji e e Lighti s
(1) Kodney F. Juartz	Ph.O., GRIMARY Ex	(Amuelo) MARY Ceper	RLY PRIMARY EXAMINER	
(2) Michael wise, K	cy#34047	(4)	eret e damas per aprel en elegada participa.	
and the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the section of the second section of the secti	st 2003		The second secon	
Type: Telephonic Dependent ((copy is given to ☐applica	nt Zapplicant's representative)	terbera michalia diki kwa ani mpi kacimili M	3 .3
Exhibit shown or demonstration cond			1997年 - 1997年). 17 - 1 1
in the graph of the constraints		o, one description.		
Agreement was reached was			* ************************************	
10	<i>1</i>	The state of the s	The first of the second of the	
Claim(s) discussed: Of Kelov	To the Later of the Control of the C	en e		
Identification of prior art discussed:	NON	Miller Berger Schapen, 12 sp. green	the grades are stated and the resemble of	
			general (1987) – 1980 – 1980 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 19 <u>Olivonia (1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 1984 – 19</u>) 1
Description of the general nature of M	hat was agreed to if an agre	ement was reached, or any other com	ments: Quacuoald	ymi.
Clamo of Kelate	d cases not	try insactanth	I instant claims	
Edaminer will	send out a	Vist OFFice	12	elitaria. En estas
the Shell Maria of Leading 2000 and the Committee of the	te di properte en escreta	ting the strain of the strain	TELL TON .	et. 11. j.s.
	Stranger Stranger	•	egita eta eta 11. fartzi biritzia eta 12. duzerrea eta 12. duzerrea. Erentzeko trainia eta eta 12. duzerriarrea eta 12. duzerrea.	
erit dag og skrivet tekkritin englisk i tit		Note that the second of the se	i i stati erik in til til til kollektik erike 1981 i het kilginer sakkil i kilologis och bill Till som till kollektik i till statistik kilologis och bill statistik kilologis och bill statistik kilologis tik	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and	a copy of the amendments,	if available, which the examiner agree	ed would render the claims allowable	estada Ostadas
All the community of th	and the second section of the second	Take we have the first three transfers of the	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be	
1. Ut is not necessary for applicant	to provide a separate record	of the substance of the interview.	and the more than the more than the more than the	
IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE action has are ready been filed, APPL SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW	n checked to indicate to the o DE THE SUBSTANCE OF TH JCANT IS GIVEN ONE MON	ontrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESP IE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section ITH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE T	PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	
2. Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements that is considered to fulfill the responsible the interview unless box 1 above.	summary above (including a at may be present in the last (has requirements of the last (he is also checked	ny attachments) reflects a complete re Office action, and since the claims are Office action. Applicant is not relieved	esponse to each of the objections, now allowable, this completed form I from providing a separate record of	1 - 1 Mar. 1 - 1 Mar. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Examiner Note: You must sign this for	m image it is on attachment	والمواري المراكب والرائب المسقع فلفطع سيشتهم	and the state of t	
FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)	The second of th	Lody P Sa		1,4 T
Constitution with the constitution of the cons	Water for ex	Kody & Ja	att 81.1.	

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademack Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- -Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview of whether is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the biftom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record; the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

*U.S. GPO: 1997-422-310/60005